UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Lawrence Earl,

v.

3

4

5

6

7

City of Las Vegas Detention Center, et al.,

Defendants

Plaintiff

Case No. 2:19-cv-01463-JAD-EJY

Order Adopting Report and Recommendation and Dismissing State-Law Claims and Fifth and Fourteenth Amendment Claims

[ECF No. 4]

Lawrence Earl brings this civil-rights action to redress constitutional-rights violations that

he claims occurred in the course of his arrest and the search of his home. The magistrate judge

screened his claims, dismissed some of the federal claims with leave to amend and let others

proceed. She further recommends that I decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over

Earl's additional state-law claims and that I dismiss his Fifth and Fourteenth Amendment due
process claims with prejudice. The deadline to challenge that recommendation passed without

objection or any request to extend the deadline to file one. "[N]o review is required of a

magistrate judge's report and recommendation unless objections are filed." Accordingly, for

the reasons stated in the report and recommendation, the Court declines to exercise supplemental

jurisdiction over plaintiff's state-law claims and dismisses those claims along with his Fifth and

Fourteenth Amendment claims.

19

20

21 See Screening Order, ECF No. 3.

 $^{||^2} Id.$

³ ECF No. 4.

²³ ⁴ Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003); see also Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985); United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 4] is 2 ADOPTED; plaintiff's claims under Article III of the Nevada Constitution are 3 DISMISSED without prejudice to his ability to file such claims in state court; and plaintiff's 4 Fifth and Fourteenth Amendment due-process claims are DISMISSED with prejudice and without leave to amend. Dated: November 16, 2019 U.S. District Judge Jennifer A